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TRENDS IN GLOBAL OIL & GAS MARKETS TO 2025

The present outlook reflects LUKOIL's position regarding global hydrocarbon market long-term prospects. The outlook’s objective is to analyze trends that will - in our view - determine the future of global oil and gas markets.

Such analysis is prepared by the Company on a regular basis in order to keep the strategy up to date and to form the investment program. However, this is the first time that we are making such analysis public.

By publishing such outlook we hope that it will help to clarify the current situation on the oil and gas market and will draw attention to the challenges that face the global oil and gas industry.

Analysis of the current problems of Russia's oil and gas industry in the context of the main trends of global oil and gas markets’ development is an especially important part of this outlook.

Key conclusions of this outlook:

• Global demand for liquid hydrocarbons will continue to grow. Growth of population and consumer class in Asia will support oil demand increase. The main increase in consumption will come from transportation sectors in developing countries.

• Increase of oil production in North America won’t lead to a global oil prices collapse. Modern methods for evaluation of shale oil reserves allow considerable uncertainty therefore we are cautious in our estimates of the US production potential. A number of factors that include the growing cost of reserve replacement, the balancing role of OPEC and depreciation of US dollar will help to support the current levels of oil prices in long term.

• The European oil refining industry is experiencing a systemic crisis. Such ongoing trends as the decrease in US gasoline imports and commissioning of new highly effective oil refineries in the Middle East and Asia will continue to have a long-term negative effect on European producers.

• Gas consumption will grow faster than oil consumption. The greatest potential for gas consumption growth is in China, while the European markets - Russia’s traditional clients - will continue remain stagnant.

• Maintaining oil production in Russia requires large-scale use of new technologies. The currently planned projects are unable to compensate production decline on brownfields. Without large-scale use of new technologies, oil production in Russia will begin to fall in 2016-2017.

• The Russian oil refining industry will undergo significant modernization but risks of gasoline deficits remain. Measures taken by the Russian government will promote modernization of domestic oil refineries but the situation on the automotive gasoline market will remain quite tense until 2016-2017.

• Main challenge for Russian gas industry is the access to the new markets. Competition on the global gas markets will continue to rise. To develop gas production in Russia, Russian companies have to gain access to growing markets.
GLOBAL OIL MARKET OUTLOOK
GROWTH FACTORS AND CHALLENGES FOR OIL MARKET

Global oil price dynamics are subject to many factors, the principal of which are the balance of supply and demand, macroeconomic and geopolitical situation, dynamics of the US dollar exchange rate and conditions on the global financial markets.

Technological breakthroughs make it possible to develop huge resources. The increase in unconventional oil and gas production in the US serves as a good example. Taking into account the US oil production progress many analytical agencies lower their long-term oil price forecasts.

At the same time a number of trends will support oil prices in the medium term.

In this outlook we would like to specify these trends and critically analyse a number of challenges that oil industry face nowadays.

Growth factors:
- Population growth, urbanization
- Motorization in Asia
- Growing costs of exploration and production
- OPEC policy
- Dollar depreciation

Principal challenges:
- Increase of unconventional oil production in North America
- Increase of oil production in Iraq
- Deepwater shelf production
- Biofuels production growth
- Gas to liquids (GTL) expansion
Our planet’s population will continue to grow rapidly. Between 2010 and 2025 global population will grow by more than 1.1 bln people.

Greatest population growth will be registered in the developing countries, while in the developed countries population will remain relatively stable.

High rates of population growth are expected, first of all, in India, which will become the world’s most populous country by 2020. Explosive population growth is also forecasted for the African countries where it will be the result of improvement in socio-economic conditions and quality of medical services.

Along with population growth, developing countries will experience the movement of rural population to the cities, known as urbanization. According to the estimates of McKinsey Global Institute, by 2025 440 cities in the developing countries will contribute up to half of the global GDP growth.

At the same time the levels of consumption will grow. It is expected that by 2025 the size of the urban consumer class will grow by 1 bln people and overall middle class will amount to more than 50% of the total global population. The main growth will come from the developing Asian countries.

Urbanization and growth of the consumer class in developing countries will, in turn, promote growth in demand for real estate, infrastructure, cars, hi-tech goods and, as a result, energy resources.

Source: UN, IHS CERA, McKinsey Global Institute
OIL DEMAND

Demand for liquid hydrocarbons will continue to grow. Global demand for liquid hydrocarbons will continue to grow annually by 1.2% on average and will, in our estimates, reach 105 mb/d by 2025.

The greatest surge in oil demand will come from the transportation sector, for which oil is the principal energy source (over 90%).

Consumption of liquid hydrocarbons will increase in the developing countries where the transportation industry is undergoing rapid growth. Analysts expect to see significant growth in the number of cars as well as the development of sea, air and railway transportation.

In addition to this, growth in demand for oil in the developing countries will be further encouraged by the industrial sector, in particular, the petrochemical industry.

At the same time, consumption of liquid hydrocarbons in the developed countries will remain relatively stable due to the low rates of economic growth and further improvements of fuel economy.

Despite stable growth rates oil’s share in the global consumption of energy resources will gradually decrease, because of substitution for other energy sources in such sectors as power generation and housing.

Sources: IEA, IHS CERA, LUKOIL estimates

Forecast for consumption of liquid hydrocarbons, mb/d

Pattern of energy resource consumption in the transportation sector, %

Sources: IEA, IHS CERA, LUKOIL estimates
The motorization of the population in the developing countries is one of the principal factors of the future growth in demand for oil. Today the developing countries are severely lagging behind the developed ones in terms of the number of cars per 1,000 people, thus creating conditions for significant growth in the size of the global car fleet.

In the forecast period, the most noticeable increase in car ownership will take place in China, whose car market has already entered intensive growth stage. In today’s China the number of cars per 1,000 people is 40. By 2025 this figure will be close to 200, leading to 220 mln car fleet increase for the period of 2010-2025.

Significant growth in car ownership will also be registered in India and other developing Asian countries. By 2025 large-scale growth of car ownership will begin in Africa.

Freight cars and trucks will make a strong contribution to the growth in consumption of motor fuels. Total number of such cars is expected to grow by 140 mln by 2025.

According to our estimates, the aggregate global car fleet will grow by 670 mln over the period of 2010-2025. Leading to increase in fuel consumption by 9 mb/d.

Sources: IEA, OPEC, World Bank, PFC Energy, LUKOIL estimates
FUEL ECONOMY IMPROVEMENT

We are currently observing a sustained trend towards decrease of fuel consumption in passenger cars. This is happening for a number of reasons: the designs of car bodies and engines are improving, the quality of engine fuel is getting better and hybrid technologies are being implemented more often.

Growth in the size of car fleet will be accompanied by changes in its structure. However, over the course of the whole forecast period internal combustion engines will preserve their dominant position. Their share in the total car fleet will amount to more than 80%. At the same time the share of cars with diesel engines will slightly increase.

The decreased rate in fuel consumption over the last 20 years was due to the improvement in its quality. Engines that consume RON-95 gasoline became an industry standard. The further decrease in fuel consumption rate will be evolutionary, not revolutionary.

Promising trends in car improvement, such as the hybrid engines, reduced rolling resistance tires, decrease in weight and improvement in aerodynamics, will help to reduce consumption of fuel in passenger cars by 30% by 2025.

Sources: IEA, PFC Energy, LUKOIL estimates

Consumption of fuel by new cars, l/100 km

Structure of car fleet, %

*Flexible fuel vehicle - cars with flexible choice of fuel (the car can use either gasoline or a mixture of gasoline and ethanol in flexible proportions)
The last decade was characterized by the unprecedented growth of exploration and production costs. According to the current estimates, oil companies expenditures on geological exploration, development and production have more than tripled since the beginning of 2000s.

In many ways the increase in costs is tied to the depletion of conventional oil resource base. The growing demand for hydrocarbons forces companies to develop unconventional and highly costly reserves. The companies are producing oil from deepwater shelf, operating high viscosity oil fields and extracting oil from tight reservoirs.

In today’s market about 15 mb/d have commercial production costs above $70/bbl. for example, shale oil projects in the US on average are profitable at the $80/bbl cost of oil.

Therefore, even if demand for oil falls significantly, its equilibrium price is unlikely to stay below $70-80/bbl for long.

Future growth in production will primarily come from the development and operation of unconventional reserves. In 2010-2025 over 70% of increase in supply of liquid hydrocarbons will come from the use of hi-tech production methods and alternative fuels such as natural gas liquids (NGL), GTL/CTL and biofuel.

The greatest increase in production will come from the deepwater shelf, tight oil reservoirs in the US, heavy crude from Canada and Venezuela. we also expect increase in production of NGL, primarily in the Middle East and in the US.

Sources: IEA, IHS CERA, IHS Herold, LUKOIL estimates
Development of horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing technologies made profitable a significant amount of unconventional hydrocarbon reserves in the United States. This began with active production of shale gas which led to the collapse of spot gas prices.

High oil prices in 2011-2012 forced many companies to start active drilling of unconventional reservoirs containing liquid hydrocarbons. In 2011 the number of drilling oil rigs in the US exceeded the number of gas rigs.

Growth in shale oil production in 2011-2012 was very impressive. Oil production at Bakken formation in North Dakota increased by more than 7.5 times and amounted to 589,000 b/d in 2012.

Eagle ford play in Texas also became the site of explosive growth in liquid hydrocarbon production.

In 2012 the aggregate volume of oil production from unconventional reservoirs in the Us is estimated to have equaled 1.2 mb/dy.

The transportation and refining infrastructure was unprepared for such production growth and that led to decrease in the US oil prices. Average spread between Brent and WTI oil amounted to $17/bbl in 2011-2012, while several years before WTI sold at a premium to Brent.

**Average oil production at Bakken**, kb/d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For counties in North Dakota

**Hydrocarbon output at Eagle Ford**, kboe/d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Condensate</th>
<th>Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: IEA, IHS CERA, IHS Herold, LUKOIL estimates
Shale oil reserves are characterized by low permeability. Hydraulic fracturing technology is used to improve the oil inflow. Well flow rates in shale formations are characterized by high decline rates in the first year of production - generally they amount to 60-70% of the maximum flowrate.

Shale oil reserves in the US have undergone several revisions in the last few years. In 2008 US Geological Survey (USGS) estimated recoverable oil reserves at Bakken formation at 3.65 bln. In 2013 USGS increased the reserves estimates to 7.4 bln bbl. In 2011 Continental Resources valued recoverable reserves at 20 bln bbl.

The principal instrument of reserve estimation is production curve analysis where production curves are derived from actual data of well flow rates by approximation. When the period of well’s operation is small, forecasts for different production curves may vary significantly.

The majority of wells in the Bakken formation currently only have data for 3-4 years of actual operations, leading to significant discrepancies in existing estimates.

The value of estimated ultimate recovery (EUR) depends on the chosen method of calculation and data available. Estimates may significantly vary for different groups of wells, depending on the quality of formation, hydraulic fracturing technology and other factors. Hence, an overestimation of shale formation reserves is possible.
When forecasting shale oil production, it’s necessary to take into account the potential for well drilling. The maximum number of wells will depend on the productive area of land suitable for drilling and on well spacing. According to the current estimates, the maximum number of wells that can be drilled at the Bakken formation in North Dakota is 33,000-39,000.

As the density of well spacing grows, EUR decreases, and this should also be taken into account when forecasting future production.

Intensifying shale oil production by increasing rates of drilling will lead to fast reserve depletion.

According to our estimates, daily oil production of 2 mb at the Bakken formation is unlikely to be sustainable, because in this case the drilling potential will be depleted by 2022-2025. The most likely scenario of Bakken formation development is to reach the production level not higher than 1.5 mb/d by 2020.

To support consistently high levels of production the companies will have to continue increasing the number of active drilling rigs, leading to the need to hire more drilling crews. According to the 2012 poll conducted by National Oilwell Varco, availability of qualified drilling crews is one of the principal challenges for the US drilling companies. It is possible that another substantial constraint for the growth in shale oil production in the US will be hydraulic fracturing crews shortage.

Sources: Mason J. (2012), Oil & Gas Journal, LUKOIL estimates
Shale oil production requires the use of large quantities of water. Hydraulic fracturing requires 5-19 mln liters of water. This may become an impediment in certain production regions.

There are also certain concerns regarding the environmental safety of shale oil production. When hydraulic fracturing is underway in shallow depth, the companies may inject chemical reagents into the ground waters. There may also be problems with utilization of used chemical solutions.

In addition, experts note that shale oil production is associated with emission of methane and other dangerous compounds into the atmosphere.

Some experts express concern that the use of hydraulic fracturing may lead to rock movement and deformation, and this, in turn, can provoke landslides and restrict construction.

Considering this list of constraints, we forecast that shale oil production in the US will amount to 3.9 mb/d by 2025. Shale oil production growth is expected primarily at the most developed formations of Bakken and Eagle ford.

Taking into account well flow rates, the pace of drilling and the productive area, the most intensive growth in shale oil production in the US will take place in the next 5-10 years. After that production will stabilize.
NORTH AMERICA BECOMES THE LEADER IN PRODUCTION GROWTH

For the next decade North America will remain the leader in terms of growth in production of liquid hydrocarbons. By 2025 the aggregate volume of liquid hydrocarbon and biofuel production in the US and Canada will amount to 19 mb/d, thus significantly reducing the region’s dependency on oil imports.

Just several years ago few believed that such growth is possible in a region with consistently declining production at brownfields. But large-scale deployment of innovative technologies has forced many to review their evaluations.

The United States will continue to increase liquid hydrocarbon production with the help of shale oil, development of deepwater shelf and growth in NGL production.

In Canada production growth will primarily depend on the oil sands. By 2025 production of high-viscosity oil in Canada will reach 3.6 mb/d, which is 1.7 mb/d more than this year. Production growth in Canada may be significantly constrained by logistics and environmental concerns.

Forecast for production of liquid hydrocarbons in North America*, mb/d

*Excluding Mexico

Sources: EIA, IHS CERA, LUKOIL estimates
According to our estimates, rapid growth in biofuel consumption that the world has been experiencing since mid-2000s is unlikely to be repeated.

European biofuels have high production costs and until recently have been developed with the help of subsidies. In Germany, for example, cost of biodiesel production is almost two times higher than the cost of production of regular diesel fuel. The crisis, however, is forcing the European governments to cut biofuel subsidy programs and, as a result, many European producers are experiencing losses.

In addition to this, the European Commission has proposed to lower the target level of 1st generation biofuel consumption to 5% of the total volume of motor fuel consumption, while the current target level is 10%. If this suggestion is passed, it will have a negative effect on the consumption of biofuels in Europe.

The United States are the world’s largest biofuel producer, but situation there is also far from optimistic. It was believed earlier that development of biofuels is a strategic necessity capable to decrease American dependency on imported oil. But the growth in production of unconventional hydrocarbons has reduced the role that biofuels were meant to play in the provision of American energy security.

Many experts doubt whether biofuel production is justifiable from the environmental point of view, since, as a rule, production of fuel from crops requires fossil fuels.

Taking the stated circumstances into account, we have a rather conservative view of the future biofuel prospects.

Source: International Institute for Sustainable Development

---

**Self cost of fuel production in 2010*, euros/l**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diesel</th>
<th>Biodiesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Forecast for global biofuel production, mln bbl/day**

---

**Cuts in subsidies*, euros/l**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Subsidies</th>
<th>Excise tax on biodiesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Germany case

**As of the end of 2012**

---

* EXPERT FORECASTS:
  - EIA
  - CERA
  - IEA
  - LUKOIL FORECAST
DEEPWATER PRODUCTION

As traditional onshore reserves are depleted, offshore resources are playing a greater role in securing the growing demand. The growing interest in shelf resources is illustrated by the fact that over the last 20 years the number of large shelf discoveries has been greater than the number of big onshore discoveries.

Today, proven offshore reserves are valued at 280 bln bbl, while shelf production amounts to 30% of the global production.

Technological development helps the oil companies to increase the depth of offshore fields. About 27% of shelf production is currently at the depths of 300 m and more and with time this share is set to grow. Today the technology allows producers to drill at depths that exceed 3,000 m. However, development of such reserves requires multimillion-dollar investments.

Accident at the Deep Water Horizon drilling platform in the Gulf of Mexico has forced many companies to review their approach to safety measures during shelf drilling. This will lead to growing operating costs for offshore projects.

High tax burden in certain countries, such as Angola and Nigeria, will also lead to growth in production costs.

We estimate the oil price for profitable development of deep water reserves should be at the level of $50-90/bbl depending on the region of production and water depth.

Despite the high cost of production and operating risks, deepwater production will continue to grow. After 2015, when a number of new large fields will be put in operation, we expect to see significant production growth.

Sources: IHS CERA, Statoil presentation to the IEF, LUKOIL estimates
Iraq remains the most promising region in terms of conventional oil production growth, despite the country’s impressive proven reserves that amount to 143 bln bbl, production levels remain relatively low - and in 2012 amounted to 3.1 mb/d.

In the process of distributing licenses in 2009 the government of Iraq announced its goal of achieving daily production levels of 12 mb by 2020. Later the target level of production was lowered to 9-10 mb/d, but today even this level seems overly optimistic.

Existing oil pipeline infrastructure is barely managing the volume of oil exports and development plans show that the hopes for resolution of existing logistical bottlenecks in the near future are futile.

In addition to the deficit of export capacities, operating companies have to deal with shortage of drilling rigs, deficit of water resources for maintenance of reservoir pressure and lack of developed transportation infrastructure for delivery of goods and equipment.

To reach the 9 mb/d production level by 2020 Iraq has to increase production at the rates that Saudi Arabia did at the end of 1960s-beginning of 1970s, or twice as fast as Russia in 2000s. Taking existing limitations into account, such growth rates are unlikely.

We forecast that by 2020 oil production in Iraq will reach to 6 mb/d.
Today OPEC countries control about 42% of global oil production. Thanks to their coordinated actions, cartel members are capable of rapidly reacting to changes in the market situation by introducing production quotas. Such actions helped to stabilize oil prices rather quickly during the global financial crisis of 2008.

Oil prices act as a decisive factor for budget revenue planning of OPEC countries. As a result of the Arab Spring, budgetary obligations of certain cartel members have grown significantly. According to the existing estimates, break-even price that allows the Saudi Arabia to balance its budget was about $78/bbl in 2012.

The probability of further budget expenditures growth needed to stimulate the economy and implement infrastructure projects is quite high for the next 2-3 years. For example, Saudi Arabia’s budget for 2013 envisions an increase of 19% in budgetary expenditures. Therefore it should come as no surprise that Saudi representatives regularly voice the price of $100/bbl as the target level.

In medium term, as production by independent producers, especially the US and Canada, grows, OPEC members will limit the growth of their own production, thus supporting the global oil prices at necessary levels.

Sources: Deutsche Bank, PIRA, LUKOIL estimates
One of the most promising alternative to the oil fuels is the GTL technology. This technology, based on the synthesis of liquid fuels from coal or methane, has been used back in 1940s in Germany, which experienced shortage of oil during the World War II.

GTL technology makes it possible to refine methane from the natural gas into a wide spectrum of products, the most important of which are the diesel fuel and kerosene with improved environmental credentials.

Today revival of interest in this technology is a result both of the stricter environmental requirements for the motor fuels and of the possibility of operating gas fields in regions lacking gas transportation infrastructure.

The largest active GTL project today is Pearl GTL. Current market prices make it profitable.

The only project currently under construction is the Escravos GTL in Nigeria. A relatively small number of active and planned projects is a result of the high costs of building GTL refineries.

Over the next few years the GTL technology won’t present a serious challenge for the oil industry. But beyond a few years the situation may radically change due to further development of methane conversion methods. Among the promising methods is the microchannel technology that makes it possible to substantially reduce the physical size of reactors, leading to reduction in capital investments in construction.

We believe that development of the GTL technology may have a significant influence on the oil market after 2020. Should the GTL technology gain large-scale circulation, it’s possible we’ll see the spread between oil and gas prices to narrow.
INFLUENCE OF THE DOLLAR EXCHANGE RATE

Since the oil prices are denominated in USD, dynamics of American currency’s exchange rate will influence the global oil prices. As a rule dollar depreciation leads to growth in oil prices, while dollar appreciation does the opposite.

The influence of the dollar exchange rate on oil prices can be illustrated by comparing dynamics of oil prices in USD with oil prices, denominated in Swiss francs and gold. Over the period of 2000-2012, the price of oil denominated in USD increased by 3.9 times, while the price of barrel denominated in Swiss francs only grew by 2.2 times, while the price of oil denominated in gold actually fell.

If the dollar was tightly tied to the gold standard, the price of oil over the last decade would be practically unchanged.

Over the last decade we’ve been seeing a trend towards dollar depreciation against other global currencies. In many ways this is a result of the US monetary policy.

Depreciation of the dollar stimulates the US economy by having a positive influence on exports. Along with the economic growth, the currencies of the developing countries, especially the ones from the Asia Pacific region, are appreciating.

Most likely this trend will continue in medium term, encouraging growth of oil prices.

Sources: Platts, LUKOIL estimates

Dynamics of Brent oil prices in USD, Swiss francs and gold, %
Population growth and high rates of automotive growth in Asia will encourage increase in oil consumption in the medium term. Growing demand and natural decline of production at conventional oil fields will require development of new reserves.

Recently there is a steady trend towards E&P costs escalation which can be explained by the depletion of conventional fields. As the increase in production will be by high cost sources such as deepwater fields, high-viscosity oil and oil from tight reservoirs, the production costs will continue to grow.

Taking into account the high cost and technological achievements in oil production, biofuels won’t have serious stimulus for growth in production.

The increase in oil production in North America will be gradual which will allow market players to adjust to changes. Medium-paced increase in oil production is expected in Iraq where the planned production probably won’t be reached due to technological and infrastructural shortage.

OPEC will strive to keep the prices above $100/bbl to meet its budget commitments as the independent producers increase the production.

Above all, the trend towards US dollar depreciation is one of the important factor that influence on oil price increase. According to our estimates, it’s unlikely that the price of oil will fall below $100/bbl in the medium term.
GLOBAL TRENDS IN REFINING
Over the course of 2012-2025, global oil product consumption will grow by average annual rate of 1.2%. In medium term, the transportation sector in developing countries will remain the main driver of oil product demand growth. China is already the world's largest market for new passenger cars. Analysts expect high growth rates in Chinese car ownership and forecast that by 2025 total number of cars in China will reach to 266 mln. Growth of Asian car fleet will spur growth in demand for gasoline, while the commercial transport sector will contribute to the growth in demand for distillate products.

Increase in consumption both in light and fuel oil products will be registered in the Middle East countries that have traditionally been a big consumers of fuel oil. Persian Gulf countries use fuel oil in electricity generation, industrial production, in the process of water desalination and as a fuel at refineries.

Developed countries have reached their peak in oil product consumption. Both Europe and North America are at the stage where their car market is nearing saturation. Improvements in fuel economy will limit the growth in oil product consumption.

Consumption of gasoline in the developed countries will continue to fall, while demand for distillates will increase due to stricter environmental requirements for bunker fuel and increase in demand from the commercial transport sector.

Global demand for diesel fuel will grow the fastest among all the oil products. By 2025 share of diesel fuel in global oil product consumption will increase from the current 32% to 37%. This will require changes in the configuration of existing refineries.
Over the last several years we've been observing significant changes taking place on the US oil product market. Growth in production of light shale oil has led to an increase in throughput at US oil refineries and growth in production of oil products. This has primarily led to profitability of continental oil refineries that use light oil from the Bakken province as their crude. Infrastructure limitations created a situation where significant oil volumes began accumulating in the oil storage terminals in the town of Cushing, Oklahoma. This has significantly reduced the price of crude oil in the region. After implementation of such infrastructure projects as Seaway Pipeline expansion and Keystone XL, advantage that continental oil refineries currently have in terms of crude costs will be significantly reduced.

Simultaneously the US oil refining industry is undergoing processes of rationalization. Smaller oil refineries are gradually exiting the market. In the period of 2009-2012 7 oil refineries with output capacity of less than 100,000 b/d were closed on the US East coast and along the Gulf of Mexico. Low demand for oil products in the Atlantic basin has led to the closure of some offshore giants. Hovensa oil refinery located in the Virgin Islands with capacity of 350,000 b/d was closed in 2012. That same year Valero company transformed its 235 kb/d oil refinery in Aruba into a terminal.

Sources: EIA, IHS Purvin & Gertz
The US government is actively pursuing a policy towards reduction in consumption of motor fuels. The CAFE (Corporate Average Fuel Economy) system has been active since 1975. The system sets limits on fuel consumption rate for the producers of passenger cars.

Nowadays, the standard of fuel consumption depends on car type and size. According to the existing standards the average fuel consumption for cars produced in 2016 will be 31.1 miles/gallon (equivalent to 6.9 l/100 km). Under those standards the fuel consumption by the new cars will decrease by 20% by 2016. Besides the taken measures in USA to boost the consumption of biofuels encourage decrease in oil demand.

Until mid-2000s the United States were the world’s largest gasoline importer, but today the country’s dependency on import is falling. At the same time the export of diesel fuel is growing.

The United States are becoming a net exporter of oil products. Excess diesel fuel will be sent to Europe, while gasoline will be exported to the Latin American countries.

Changes on the US oil products market will have a long-term negative effect on the European oil refineries. Many European oil refineries were designed for arbitrage operations and delivery of car gasoline to North America. The trend towards reduction in gasoline imports in the US is making the economic model of such arbitrage oil refineries no longer feasible.
EUROPEAN OIL REFINING IS EXPERIENCING SYSTEMIC CRISIS

The period of 2004-2008 was the “golden age” of European oil refineries. Stable demand for oil products and the deficit in conversion capacities made the oil refineries highly profitable. But after the global financial crisis of 2008 situation in the European oil refining industry changed significantly.

Decrease in demand for oil products that hit Europe in 2009 led to reduction in throughput of European oil refineries. This coincided with construction of several conversion projects, which meant that the spread between dark and light oil products narrowed further. Moreover, the largest gasoline consumer - the United States - reduced import volumes. All of these events had a negative effect on the economics of the European producers. As a result, the European oil processing industry is undergoing a deep crisis.

Since 2009 producers have shut down a number of oil refineries with aggregate output capacity of 3.7 mln bbl/day in the Atlantic Basin region. However, this seems to be insufficient as many European oil refineries have low profitability, while their utilization remains rather low.

Quite a few low-efficiency oil refineries continue to function. Oil companies are unable to radically cut operations due to the pressure from local authorities and labor unions. High risk of shutdown is especially certain for small oil refineries with low level of complexity because such oil refineries have high per unit operating costs.

To overcome the European oil refining crisis, the companies have to shut down additional 1-1.5 mb/d of refining capacities.

Sources: Wood Mackenzie, LUKOIL estimates

Comparison of European oil refineries in capacity and complexity

![Diagram showing the comparison of European oil refineries in capacity and complexity]

- **Working**
- **Closed**

**High risk of closure**

Sources: Wood Mackenzie, LUKOIL estimates
It’s expected that in 2012-2020 annual net increase in global oil refining capacities will amount to 1 mln bbl/day.

The greatest increase in refining capacities is forecasted for the Middle East and Asia Pacific region, where demand for oil products will grow the fastest.

Scheduled projects have high capacity and complexity, challenging the European oil refiners. Moreover, the oil refineries under construction have advantages in terms of logistics, providing them with an opportunity to make profit from arbitrage.

China, the largest oil product consumer in the Asia Pacific region, is forced to import part of its fuel. In order to reduce its dependency on oil product imports, the country plans to increase its own oil refining capacities by 2.4 mb/d by 2018.

One of the world’s leading refiners - sinopec - plans to build several new oil refineries. In particular, Sinopec is building an oil refinery with capacity of 300,000 b/d in the southern province of Guandun in partnership with KPC (Kuwait Petroleum Company). Together with PdVSA CNPC is implementing Jieyang project with output capacity of 400,000 b/d. In addition to this CNPC is building 400,000 b/d oil refinery in the Zhejiang (Taizhou) province.
The Middle Eastern countries are also planning significant investments in construction of additional refining capacity in order to satisfy the growing domestic and global demand. Saudi Arabia plans to building three new oil refineries with output capacity of 400,000 b/d each and aggregate capacity of 1.2 mb/d. Al-Jubail facility located on the Persian Gulf coast will be put in operation in 2013, while two others - Yanbu and Jizan - will be built on the Red Sea coast by 2016-2017.

Commissioning of new capacities in Asia and the Middle East will lead to reallocation of oil product flows. Export of gasoline from Europe to the Middle East will decrease, while competition on the European diesel fuel market will grow.

Growth of global primary crude oil processing will be accompanied by the construction of new conversion facilities. New oil refineries in the Middle East and China have high Nelson complexity Indices and this implies that they have extensive conversion capacities. For European oil refineries the average Nelson Index equals 7 units, but for the new capacities in the Middle East and Asia this figure amounts on average to 10 units.

Construction of new conversion capacities will continue to take place in the developing countries. The most popular production processes will include hydro cracking units used for production of diesel fuel and high quality motor oils, catalytic cracking units used for production of high octane gasoline and the coking unit that allows for refining heavy residues into petroleum coke with production of additional light oil products.
Authorities of coastal states have become concerned with the worsening environmental situation and have set new limits on maximum allowed sulphur content in bunker fuels.

Starting in January 2010, the territory of Emission Control Area (ECA, the water zones of Northern Europe, the US and Canada) is closed off to usage of any types of bunker fuels with sulphur content above 1%.

Even stricter regulations will come in force in the ECA zone after 2015. Sulphur content in fuels will have to be below 0.1%. According to existing estimates, this will increase distillate consumption by 450,000 b/d.

Global trend towards restrictions of sulphur content in bunker fuels is not so tough. Starting in January 2012 bunker fuels are supposed to contain no more than 3.5% of sulphur.

After 2020, usage of fuels containing more than 0.5% of sulphur in international bunkering will be prohibited However, many experts say that this deadline may be moved to 2025.

The industry may respond to this challenge by using scrubber filters on tankers to purify exhaust gases without changing the type of bunker fuel. Such approach can be used on large vessels that consume 80% of all bunker fuel.

Another method of meeting environmental requirements is to change the vessels’ fueling system to use liquified natural gas (LNG).

Taking into account the trend towards less production of dark oil products and further technological progress, we expect that the industry will be able to gradually adapt to the introduction of new standards.

Source: Purvin & Gertz
GLOBAL NATURAL GAS MARKET OUTLOOK
In the beginning of 2000s, the three principal markets (United States, South-East Asia and Europe) faced stagnating domestic production alongside with growth in local demand for gas.

Growing demand for imports favored suppliers allowing them to set their terms. The main pricing principle was long-term indexed contracts where the price of gas was set based on the cost of alternative fuel, such as oil products. The long-term contracts and take-or-pay obligations were explained by the need to make large investments in upstream.

The gas supplies were mainly delivered via pipelines as LNG capacities were limited.

But by the end of 2000s the situation has changed. Technological breakthrough in the US added to reserves available for production large deposits of shale gas. Intensified exploration around the world led to discoveries of new perspective regions with large reserves.

Over 10 years period LNG-liquefaction capacities more than doubled. Falling demand as a result of the global economic downturn and increasing supply made European gas hubs modeled after US Henry Hub much more liquid.

As a result, customers started to set terms on the international gas market.

---

**2000s**
- Growing demand for gas in Europe and the US
- Markets are segmented
- USA – large importer of natural gas
- Limited supply of LNG
- Long-term Indexed contracts - dominant pricing principle

**2010s**
- Technological breakthrough in shale gas production in the US
- Spread of technologies of unconventional fields development
- Commissioning of new LNG terminals in Qatar and Australia, increasing trade in liquified gas
- Floating LNG plants
- Discovery of promising shelf reserves (Mediterranean, East Africa)
- Growth of spot trading of natural gas

Seller’s market \[→\] Buyer’s market
Key growth factors for demand for gas, which initially was considered as a by-product of oil production, were its environmental credentials and low costs in comparison with other types of fossil fuels.

To address the threat of global warming they are to reduce CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere. Natural gas has lower emissions of CO₂, as well as other dangerous substances (sulphur and nitrogen compounds).

In Asia and Middle East gas-fired electricity generation will replace coal and oil-powered plants respectively. Gas consumption will also continue to grow in North America. Another growth driver for gas-fired generation is the worldwide concerns about safety and reliability of the nuclear power.

In addition to power generation, population growth will also contribute to growth in gas consumption in the residential and industrial sectors.

China will be major region for gas consumption growth and by 2020 will become one of the world’s largest consumers and importers of gas.

We estimate, that until 2025 global gas consumption will continue to grow at the annual rate of 2.2%. Therefore gas consumption will have the highest rate of growth among other types of fossil fuels.
For decades the North American oil and gas industry was trying to master production of unconventional gas resources. The price surge of mid-2000s aptly coincided with technological breakthroughs in the areas of hydraulic fracturing and directional drilling.

In addition to the experience that the industry had already accumulated, important contribution in the overall success was due to low population density in production regions and availability of water resources.

The growth in production of unconventional gas will allow the US to start exporting gas by the middle of the current decade, and, according to various estimates, to become a net exporter of gas by 2020.

Major advantage of American LNG projects is the relatively low level of capital expenditures due to significant number of existing LNG import facilities that can be quickly converted for LNG exports.

As of today, only Sabine Pass terminal has acquired a permission of the Us authorities to export 16 mln t of LNG a year (about 22 bcm). Start of exports is scheduled for the end of 2015. Total export capacity of proposed projects is 200 mmpta, but there is an influential lobby inside the country that seeks to limit exports due to concerns of domestic gas prices growth.

**Forecast for production and consumption of gas in the US, bcm**

Source: EIA
GLOBAL PROSPECTS OF UNCONVENTIONAL GAS

Taking into account the amount of time required to develop necessary expertise, as well as to upgrade the rig fleet and master required technologies, shale gas will start to play a serious role outside of North America after 2020.

There are considerable reserves of unconventional gas both in Asia and Latin America. China has the most favorable conditions to establish shale gas production and has already begun to import relevant technologies.

It should be noted that the lack of gas infrastructure and strictly limited water resources won’t allow China in short-term to make the cost of unconventional gas production as low and production growth as fast as in the US.

To increase shale gas production, one needs a large number of modern drilling rigs. At present appropriate fleet is available only in North America, where it is fully utilized. Global capacity to manufacture such drilling rigs is estimated 300 rigs per year.

Lack of qualified personnel, as well as capacities for water injection necessary for hydraulic fracturing will also constrain unconventional gas production around the world.

---

Sources: IEA, EIA, LUKOIL estimates

---

Recoverable reserves of unconventional gas, tcm

Unconventional gas* production forecast, bcm

---

*shale gas, tight gas reservoir reserves, coal-bed methane
Along with the progress in development of unconventional resources, new large conventional gas reserves are being discovered in new regions of the world. Very soon South-East Africa and Eastern Mediterranean will become global sources of gas supply.

By the end of the current decade appreciable liquefying capacities (around 20 mmpta) will have been commissioned to supply recently discovered significant gas reserves of Mozambique and Tanzania. Total annual export capability of the region is estimated around 70 mmpta, putting the region’s export potential on par with the US.

South-East Africa has a good location for LNG deliveries to Asia Pacific. Taking into account growing interdependence between markets, competition in Europe will improve. To minimize costs, Chinese and Indian oil and gas companies are acquiring stakes in production projects around the region.

In Europe the shelf of the Eastern Mediterranean may become a new global source of LNG by the beginning of the next decade. According to various estimates, aggregate recoverable offshore reserves of Israel, Cyprus, Lebanon and Egypt amount to several tcm of gas. Considering clouded intercountries relations in the region as well as low domestic consumption, these countries will choose LNG as a way of export of gas surplus. First liquefying capacities are scheduled to come onstream by 2020.
Large-scale commissioning of new LNG capacities creates good footing for the development of global natural gas market.

Previous decade was characterized by the growth in LNG trading volumes and construction of LNG facilities all around the world - aggregate liquefying capacity increased by 2.5 times and reached 270 mmpta (over 360 bcm). In particular, Qatar commissioned a number of large-scale LNG projects (QatarGas, RasGas) with aggregate capacity of 61 mmpta.

Regasification capacities were mostly constructed in Europe and North America. LNG supply contracts were based on oil-indexation.

In the course of the current decade we expect implementation of even greater-scale plans, primarily in Australia. By 2020 new LNG facilities are planned in North America, Africa and Russia.

As LNG supply grows, price differentials between major gas markets will narrow to the costs of LNG transportation. It’s possible that significant part of LNG deliveries will be supplied under spot pricing. Therefore, development of LNG will make the global gas markets more interdependent.
Despite the forecasted high growth rate of global gas consumption, demand for gas in Europe is unlikely to grow significantly in the next 5-10 years.

Shale gas revolution resulted in decline of consumption of coal in power generation in the US, therefore European coal market prices fallen due to the glut. As a result, coal became more economically efficient than natural gas for power generation in Europe. The low cost of CO₂ emission quotas also promotes replacement gas with coal.

Low rates of economic growth do not favor growth in European gas consumption unlike fast-growing gas markets of Asia and Middle East.

At the same time EU authorities are seeking every opportunity to stimulate development of LNG infrastructure and to diversify gas supply to Europe.

Under the Third Energy Package gas transmission and storage is separated from commercial activities. Intensive development of midstream infrastructure will allow to establish single trading hub for the whole Europe and eliminate significant differences in gas prices across Europe.

Considering significant rates of decline in domestic gas production, import of gas to Europe will continue to grow even if overall gas demand stagnates. But forecasts show that after 2015 there will be a sharp increase in the number of potential global sources of gas supply.

**Comparison of European power generation’s cost, $/MWh**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-contracted demand for gas in 2020 and volumes of supply*, bcm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demand for gas</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia (new projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline gas (except for Russia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supply</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia (new projects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline gas (except for Russia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG Australia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Contractually unassured gas with European cost of delivery lower 320$/1000 cm

Sources: IEA, SKOLKOVO Energy Center, LUKOIL estimates
Supply will primarily grow thanks to large-scale commissioning of new LNG facilities. Export from Australia will grow after 2015, and by 2025 the United States, East Africa and Russia may become large LNG suppliers.

Asia remains the most attractive market to supply LNG to, but in the second half of the decade due to growth of global LNG supply gas prices in Asia will gradually decline.

In addition to LNG, there is some potential for increasing pipeline gas deliveries to Europe.

By the end of the decade, when many long-term import contracts will gradually expire, there will be a significant volume of demand to be satisfied under new contracts. Nonetheless, the volume of potential supply will be much higher than contractually unassured demand, leading to improvement of competition Europe. By 2020, Europe’s demand for gas above the existing contracts will amount to 50 bcm, while Russia’s competitors will be capable of supplying to the market additional 250 bcm. Competition will force suppliers to lower long-term contract prices in order to maintain their market share.

In the long run rise of share of hub pricing will considerably challenge Russian gas in Europe. Growing costs of production and depletion of traditional resource base in West Siberia will undermine competitiveness of Russia on the European market.

Sources: PFC Energy, SKOLKOVO Energy Center, Argus

Comparison of costs of gas deliveries to Europe, $(2012)/1000 cm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline gas</th>
<th>LNG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average price of Russian gas at the border with Germany, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecast of gas prices in Europe, 2025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PFC Energy, SKOLKOVO Energy Center, Argus
Gas consumption in China is set to grow significantly. Around 3% of power supply is produced from gas. This is way below both the OECD countries and the developing Asian states.

Skyrocketing industrial-production growth of 2000s quickly made China the world’s leader in terms of $CO_2$ emissions. Environmental concerns will promote substitution of coal with gas. Chinese government set ambitious goals for gas consumption growth in 12th five-year plan. should they all be realized, Chinese gas consumption may reach 200-250 bcm as early as 2015.

The growth of gas consumption in China will considerable exceed domestic production thus creating opportunities for export to this country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets of China’s twelfth 5-yr plan</th>
<th>Fact 2010</th>
<th>Goal 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emissions per GDP unit, kg $CO_2$/2005</td>
<td>1,79</td>
<td>1,49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas share in primary energy sources</td>
<td>3,8%</td>
<td>7,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall gas-fired power output</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Should the plans be implemented, gas consumption in 2015 will equal 200-250 bcm
Nowadays the main sources of import are Central Asian gas (in western parts of the country) and LNG (in the East). In the near future a gas pipeline from Myanmar will be commissioned.

Gas pipelines “Central Asia-China” and “West-East” deliver the gas to China’s eastern provinces where demand is concentrated. By 2020 the pipeline system’s aggregate throughput capacity may reach 100 bcm, which corresponds to the aggregate export capacity of Turkmenistan, Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan.

Chinese are also actively building regasification LNG facilities that will be capable of receiving over 60 mmpta of LNG by 2020. Today up to 80% of supplies are coming from Australia, Qatar, Indonesia and Malaysia. Russia’s share amounts to approximately 2% under spot sales of Sakhalin gas.

Negotiations for deliveries of pipeline gas from Russia have been taking place for a long time. Dialogue is complicated by the fact that the two sides have different views both on the price of fuel and on the direction of supply. Two principal alternatives are the Altay project with capacity of 30 bcm, going to China’s Western provinces, where Russian gas will compete with deliveries from Central Asia, and a branch of the “Power of Siberia” pipeline with throughput capacity of 38 bcm in the far East, where Russian gas will mainly compete with LNG.
Russia may secure a large share on the Asia Pacific gas markets, especially in China.

Domestic gas prices in China are regulated and kept low by authorities. At the same time prices of imported gas are much higher.

Implementation of Altay project would mean that main competitor of Russian gas will be the Central Asian one. In the far East Russian gas will compete against LNG from Qatar and Australia.

Using the principle of equal profitability levels with gas deliveries to Europe, the Russian gas seems relatively expensive, both via either Eastern or Western routes. But should the gas prices in Europe go down, situation will change.

On the whole, gas deliveries via Eastern route seem preferable, both in terms of price competition, and in terms of infrastructure constraints that exist for transportation of gas from China’s western provinces to the East coast.

The Russian side still has some unresolved issues with the pricing. If current approach to pricing persists, the competitiveness of Russian gas on China’s market seems doubtful.

Sources: The Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, LUKOIL estimates

Comparison of netback prices on Shanghai basis*, $/1000 cm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Western markets</th>
<th>Eastern markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Siberia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(alt. to deliveries to Europe)

| East Siberia         |                 |                 |

(alt. to deliveries to Europe)

| LNG (weighted mean)  |                 |                 |

| LNG (Qatar and Australia, new contracts) |                 |

Sources: The Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, LUKOIL estimates
CHALLENGES FOR RUSSIAN OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY
Russia has considerable resource potential

Russia is one of the global oil production leaders and has the world’s eighth largest proven reserves.

The data on the size of Russian reserves is still confidential. This is a legacy of the Soviet period. But the situation is gradually changing. Government officials are beginning to reveal reserve data in their speeches.

According to the Russian Ministry of Energy, the country’s oil in place amounts to 74.3 bln t, while resources equal to 157.1 bln t. In light of technical production capabilities, Russia’s recoverable reserves are valued at 22 bln t.

Evaluation of oil reserves using international classification is approximately twice as low as with using Russian one. This happens, because the system of reserve evaluation used in Russia is primarily based on geological and technical attributes, while the economics of new fields’ development are almost disregarded.

Russia has significant potential to increase its reserves according to international classification if it creates economic stimuli for development of fields that are currently unviable.

Sources: Oil & Gas Journal, Ministry of Energy of the Russian Federation
MAJOR APPROACHES TO EVALUATION OF HYDROCARBON RESERVES

Estimation of reserves is used to determine the quantity of hydrocarbons that can be extracted from subsoils taking into account current technological, economic and other restrictions. In addition to reserves, such estimations also earmark resources with very little available geological information.

There are many methods for calculation of reserves that are based on various criteria of classification. Below are brief descriptions of several methods.

RUSSIAN SYSTEM OF RESERVE CLASSIFICATION

Russia currently uses a temporary system of reserve classification that was introduced in 2001. This system inherited approaches that were proposed back in the soviet times and primary classification criteria that it uses takes into account geological and technical features of reserves. At the same time this method pays almost no attention to the economics of developing the fields.

According to the Russian system of classification, reserves are divided into categories according to available information:
- A, B, C1 – proven reserves
- C2 – preliminary estimated reserves
- C3 – potential reserves
- D1, D2 – forecasted resources

categories A, B, C1, C2 are considered reserves, while C3, D1, D2 – resources.

SPE-PRMS

The most widely used PRMS classification of reserves was developed by the Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE).

According to this classification, reserves are divided into three principal categories:
- Proven - probability of extraction no less than 90%
- Probable - probability of extraction no less than 50%
- Possible - probability of extraction no less than 10%

Proven reserves in turn subdivide into the following categories:
- Proved, developed, producing (PDP) - reserves that are currently being extracted from active wells
- Proved, developed, non-producing (PDNP) - reserves that can be extracted with negligible capital expenditures
- Proven undeveloped reserves (PUD) - reserves that demand capital expenditures, e.g. for drilling wells, to start production.

Classification of resources utilizes such criteria as achievement of commercial significance and probability of geological confirmation of reserves.

SEC REQUIREMENTS

SEC standards were suggested by the US Securities Exchange Commission for the companies that have a stock exchange listing. These standards have their own particular characteristics: the standards take into consideration only proven reserves and take into account the duration of licenses for field exploitation and the plans for their development.
Oil production in Russia was steadily and dynamically growing throughout 2000s thanks to the intensification of production at existing fields and implementation of technologies to enhance oil recovery rate. The hydraulic fracturing technology was particularly popular, and companies implemented other new technologies and equipment.

Over the period of 2000-2010 production grew by more than 1.5 times, exceeding 500 mln t a year.

During the crisis of 2008-2009 there was a trend towards production decline, but timely tax cuts by the government helped to stabilize production and even promote its growth.

The greater part of Russian oil production is based on discoveries that were made in the time of Soviet Union. 90% of oil production in Russia is done at oil fields that were discovered before 1988, and only remaining 10% are extracted at the fields that were discovered in 1990s and 2000s.

Such situation has resulted from the fact that newly discovered fields are mainly located in faraway regions with difficult climates or lack of infrastructure. Their development requires considerable investments.

The future production dynamics will depend on the companies’ ability to speedily commission new fields and the rates of implementation of modern technologies necessary to maintain production at existing fields.

Sources: Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex, Ministry of Energy of the Russian Federation, LUKOIL estimates
HIGH DECLINE RATES - CHALLENGE FOR THE RUSSIAN OIL INDUSTRY

A distinguishing feature of the majority of Russian oil fields is the natural decline rate in production due to depletion of reserves. The greater part of production takes place at the fields of West Siberia, where first large discoveries were made in 1960s.

In 2000s the rates of production decline in current declining well stock increased considerably, reaching the annual level of 11%.

Increase in the number of production enhancement operations, which began in 2009, helped to stabilize decline rates, but they remain high and present a real challenge for the Russian oil industry.

Positive production dynamics that we’ve been observing since 2010 is primarily a result of commissioning of new large fields. The greatest increase in production was registered in East Siberia, where oil companies began production at such fields as Vankor, Talakan and Verkhechonsk.

To overcome production decline rate, Russia has to annually commission 3-4 oil fields comparable with Vankor.

---

Sources: Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex, LUKOIL estimates
At the end of 2012 the government issued licenses for the development of the last remaining large lots on the books of the Federal Agency for Subsoil Use (Rosnedra). The fields are Lodochnoye in Krasnoyarsk region, Shpielman and Imilor in Khanty-Mansy region. Therefore, in mid-term the opportunities for commissioning of new large fields will be limited.

According to the oil companies’ plans, by 2020 they will put in operation such fields as Yurubcheno-Tokhomskoye, Russkoye, Vostochno-Messoyakhskoye, Novoportovskoye, Kuyumbinskoye and Imilorskoye. As a result, by 2025 increase in annual production from commissioning of new large fields will amount to 100 mln t.

The majority of new fields will be commissioned after 2015, and until then maintaining production at stable levels will remain a very difficult task. Forecasted production volumes for the new projects are unlikely to compensate the natural decline rate at old fields.

Sources: Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex, LUKOIL estimates
OIL PRODUCTION FORECASTS

Under current conditions, oil production in Russia can be maintained through development of the following areas:

• enhanced oil recovery at existing fields
• development of unconventional reserves
• development of Arctic shelf reserves

But to develop these areas, the government has to create favorable conditions. Tertiary stimulation methods have high self-cost and their use under current tax regime is economically inefficient. The same can be said for the development of the so-called unconventional oil reserves, whose extraction requires use of expensive technologies.

The government’s measures to lower export duties and provide companies with targeted incentives that were taken in 2010-2011 have proven their efficiency - production was stabilized and there was even some growth. Nonetheless, in order to maintain stable production in long term the government needs to take additional steps to reform the tax regime for the oil industry. Otherwise decline in production is likely to begin as early as 2016-2017.

The government lowered the export duty, gave tax breaks on the mineral resources extraction tax, introduced preferential rates of export duty for the oil fields of East Siberia and North Caspian shelf. The authorities also introduced 10-10-10 concession system to stimulate production of superviscous oil.

Forecast for liquid hydrocarbon production in Russia, mln t

Sources: Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex, LUKOIL estimates
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ENHANCING OIL RECOVERY AT EXISTING FIELDS

One of the important steps towards maintaining future levels of production is to increase the oil recovery factor (ORF) at existing fields by using methods of enhanced oil recovery. Today Russia seriously lags behind such countries as the US and Norway in terms of oil recovery factor.

Increasing the oil recovery factor on Russian fields to 43% will help to additionally engage in development around 4 bln t of reserves.

One of the advantages of using methods of enhanced oil recovery at old fields is the opportunity to use the existing infrastructure, thus foregoing additional capital expenditures.

At the same time, the majority of tertiary recovery methods have higher self-costs as compared with traditional methods of extraction, and this prevents their mass implementation by the Russian companies.

Basically, their use often turns out to be economically inefficient. Therefore, in order to stimulate the use of enhanced oil recovery methods, the government has to adjust the existing tax regime.

Classification of tertiary methods

Thermal methods
- Thermal steam reservoir stimulation
- Fire flooding
- Hot water/steam displacement
- Cyclic steam soaking

Gas methods
- Hydrocarbon gas injection
- Carbon dioxide injection
- Nitrogen injection
- Furnace gas injection
- Water-alternated-gas injection

Physical and chemical methods
- Solvent flooding
- Polymer solvent flooding
- Foam oil displacement
- Alkaline oil displacement
- Acids oil displacement
- Change of filtration flows technologies

Microbiological and other methods

Source: “Drilling and Oil” magazine, February 2011

Sources: company materials, LUKOIL estimates, JSC “NIIneft”

Potential for increasing ORF

Comparison of expenditures in traditional production and using EOR, $/bbl

---

Sources: company materials, LUKOIL estimates, JSC “NIIneft”
DEVELOPMENT OF UNCONVENTIONAL RESERVES

Russia has high resource potential for the development of unconventional oil. Bazhenov rock formation in East Siberia has similar geological characteristics to the Bakken formation. The variance of appraisals of recoverable reserves is also comparable to Bakken.

Today Bazhenov formation produces about 1 mln t of oil a year, while the oil recovery factor amounts to 2-3%. Implementation of existing technologies can increase the oil recovery factor to 35-40%. According to Rosnedra, by 2025 oil production at Bazhenov may amount to 52 mln t a year.

Successful development of unconventional hydrocarbons in the US is a result of several simultaneous factors such as a favorable tax regime, state backing of research programs, existence of drilling rig fleet and qualified personnel.

According to existing estimates, American companies invested more than $100 bln in development of unconventional reserves. Development of unconventional oil reserves in Russia may require comparable expenditures.

Dynamics of unconventional oil production in Russia will depend on the state’s ability to create effective stimuli for implementation of innovative technologies in oil production.

Comparison of Bazhenov rock and Bakken formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Bazhenov rock</th>
<th>Bakken formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area, mln km²</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>0,52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation thickness, m</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>3-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity, %</td>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>3-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average occurrence depth, m</td>
<td>2 700-3 100</td>
<td>2 100-3 300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Sberbank Investment Research, Oil & Gas Journal, CERA, LUKOIL estimates
Development of Arctic shelf may become a significant source for long term production growth.

Very little is actually known about the Arctic shelf at the moment. Exploratory drilling has only been done on the shelf of the Barents and Kara Seas.

Today the aggregate hydrocarbon resources of Russia’s Arctic shelf are estimated at 76.3 bln toe, while recoverable reserves are valued at 9.6 bln toe. The greater part of positive reserves is made up of natural gas.

Severe Arctic conditions - difficult ice situation, low temperatures, lack of infrastructure - demand exercise of unique expertise and technologies.

Existing legislation restricts the private companies’ access to development of shelf fields. Removing this restriction could stimulate geological exploration in the region, promote distribution of new technologies and allocate the risks among a large group of participants.

If the current restrictions on private companies’ access to Arctic shelf are not lifted, by 2025 production at the Arctic shelf will amount to 12 mln t a year.

*1 ton of oil equivalent = 1 ton of oil = 1,000 m³ of natural gas

Sources: Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation, LUKOIL estimates
EXCESS PROFIT TAX

PREREQUISITES FOR EXCESS PROFIT TAX

Existing system of oil production taxation in Russia is based primarily on such fiscal instruments as mineral extraction tax and export duties. The greater part of tax burden falls on the oil production companies and the taxes are levied on these companies’ sales of oil. Such system fails to take into account individual features of oil production projects (geology, geographical location, expenditures on development) and limits implementation of new technologies. The concept of the excess profit tax envisions using the financial results of the company’s activities as the principal subject of taxation. This will create new economic stimuli for implementation of oil production projects.

MAIN PRINCIPLES OF EXCESS PROFIT TAX

The first excess profit tax concept was submitted to the State Duma back in 1997, but the relevant law was never approved and no methodology for calculation of excess profit tax was confirmed. According to the new open-source information, the method for calculation of excess profit tax may be developed by the end of 2013.

The following principles of the excess profit tax can be stipulated today:

1) Tax base - the project’s financial result. It’s expected that the tax base for excess profit tax calculation will be the project’s operational profit minus capital expenditures.

2) Redistribution of tax burden. If the excess profit tax is implemented, tax burden on revenues will fall.

3) Separate accounting. For the goals of calculating excess profit tax, accounting has to be done separately for each licensing plot.

If the excess profit tax is levied, the level of tax burden will depend on the stage of oil/gas field’s development. During initial development stages, when capital expenditures are the highest, tax burden will be considerably lower than under current tax regime. As production grows, tax burden will increase. The tax burden will fall once again during later stages of field development, allowing the subsoil users to actively use the methods for increasing oil recovery factor.

PROSPECTS FOR INTRODUCTION OF EXCESS PROFIT TAX

In order to introduce the excess profit tax the state has to resolve a number of issues the key being the creation, implementation and management of a clear and transparent system of separate accounting for each project. There are currently plans for introduction of excess profit tax in trial mode.

LUKOIL and Surgutneftegas came out with an initiative to implement the pilot excess profit tax project at Shpilman and Imilor fields. Decision on this request is expected in 2014.
CURRENT STATE OF RUSSIA’S OIL REFINING INDUSTRY

The modern-day shape of the Russian oil refining industry was formed in the Soviet times. Existence of large oil reserves, necessity of using considerable amounts of fuel oil for heating and the Soviet Army’s demand for diesel fuel determined configuration of Russian oil refineries. As a result, the Russian oil refineries produce excessive amount of residual oil and diesel fuel, while gasoline production is barely enough to cover national consumption.

Average conversion rate of Russian oil refineries is much smaller than the similar rate of their European and American counterparts because the methods of residue conversion are used very insufficiently. This being said, the tax system that was in place until recently created the wrong stimuli for the oil refineries and prevented inflow of investments.

But situation is gradually changing. In 2011 the Russian government took a number of steps to stimulate investments in modernization of oil refineries.

First of all, it changed the tax regime for the oil refining industry, introducing the 60-66-90-100 policy. This system envisions significant growth of export duties on heavy petroleum products - starting in 2015 export duty on these products will be equal to the export duty on oil, creating stimuli for investments in construction of conversion capacities.

That same year the federal Anti-Monopoly service, Rostekhnadzor, Rosstandart and Russian oil companies signed a four-way agreement documenting the companies’ plans to modernize their refineries.

Thanks to these measures we expect that in the coming decade the Russian oil refining industry will undergo large-scale modernization.

Sources: Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex, LUKOIL estimates
GASOLINE MARKET TRENDS

Demand for gasoline in Russia will continue to grow along with growth in the number of cars. The average annual increase will amount to 1.5-2 mln cars, while consumption of gasoline will amount by 2025 to 43-47 mln t a year.

As the car fleet is undergoing modernization, there will be structural changes in gasoline demand towards growing share of high-quality Euro-5 gasoline. This will present a serious challenge to the gasoline producers.

Until 2016, when several large fcc units will be commissioned, situation on the Russian gasoline market will remain very tense. At the same time there is a risk that not all of the companies will fulfill their obligations under the four-way Agreement in time. As a result, problems with gasoline supply can extend for a longer period of time.

Government took a number of measures to increase fuel quality. In particular it has implemented a set of technical rules that specifies that fuels below Euro-5 will be banned starting from 2016.

Moreover, the government introduced differentiated excise rates, actively stimulating producers to make a switch to production of Euro-5 gasolines. In this respect the Government’s initiative to increase excise tax on Euro-4 and 5 gasolines to the level of excise on Euro-3 gasoline seems inconsistent. Increasing excise tax will lower the quality of gasoline and increase domestic prices.

chart 1. Engine fuel technical requirements:
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Motorization in Russia forecast

Forecast for production and consumption of gasoline, mln t/yr

Sources: Petromarket, LUKOIL estimates
Today, production of fuel oil amounts to about 28% of Russian oil refineries’ total output or 68 mln t a year. Over the next 10-15 years we expect considerable changes in the yield structure of oil refineries. Fuel oil will gradually leave the market. Execution of oil refinery modernization plans, announced by the companies, will reduce fuel oil production volumes by three times, simultaneously increasing production of light fractions.

As production of commercial fuel oil declines, conversion rate will increase by 2025 to 92%, exceeding average European ratio.

Cuts in fuel oil production will primarily influence the European market where this product is actively used for further refining and as bunker fuel.

Since fuel oil is an export product, its price on the domestic market is heavily dependent on the changes in export duty. When in 2015 export tax for heavy petroleum products will equal the export tax for oil, domestic residue oil prices will fall significantly.

Considering the forecast growth of gas prices, since in 2015, Russian market will have all the conditions for interfuel competition between natural gas and fuel oil in heat and power generation.

Sources: Petromarket, LUKOIL estimates

* based on example of Nizhny Novgorod Region, gas price is compared with residual oil price in terms of calorific capacity
RUSSIAN GAS INDUSTRY POTENTIAL

Russia has the world’s largest proven gas reserves. The majority of reserves (over 60%) are located in West Siberia. Geological and engineering conditions of this region are well-studied and the country has gas reserves for almost a century ahead.

Presently the companies are gradually beginning to develop reserves located in East Siberia and on the continental shelf.

The resource potential for production of natural gas is reliable and sufficient for guaranteeing both the domestic and export demand. There are also no doubts about the future ability to satisfy demand.

Natural gas amounts to about half of all the primary energy resources consumed in Russia. Gas plays an especially important role in power generation that accounts for approximately 40% of all gas consumed in the country. Population consumes about 1/5 of the gas, another third is consumed by the industry, where the largest users are metallurgy and fertilizer production.

Level of gas consumption in Russia has stabilized, and in medium term may demonstrate a minimal growth. This is in many ways a result of growth in energy efficiency and slow rates of industrial growth.

Sources: Oil & Gas Journal, OPEC, Ministry of Energy of the Russian Federation, Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex
Gazprom, which operates the unified gas transmission system, remains the major player in Russia’s gas industry. But over the last few years more flexible, independent gas producers have almost tripled their share of the Russian market, reaching 30%, and today the monopoly market is being transformed into oligopoly.

Today the Russian legislation specifies that Gazprom has an exclusive right on gas exports from Russia. The authorities and producers are actively discussing the possibility of gradual abolishment of Gazprom’s export monopoly, starting with LNG export projects. One of the conditions for the abolishment of monopoly is the weak gas-on-gas competition among Russian gas suppliers on the global markets.

Increasing gas production in Russia is possible if the export deliveries grow, and their volume depends on external demand. In the view of the expectations that one of the world’s key markets - the United states - will become self-sufficient, while the volumes of global gas supply may increase several-fold, the Asia Pacific seems like a promising market for sales of Russian gas.

The question of how effective the monopoly structure of Russian gas industry is in the face of global challenges demands additional analysis.

Forecast of production of natural and associated gas in Russia, bcm

Sources: Central Control Administration of the Fuel and Energy Complex, LUKOIL estimates
Nowadays it is obvious that the implementation of new technologies has made the development of wide range of unconventional resources possible. This has changed the balance in the oil and gas industry and inaugurated transition to the era of high-tech energy industry. A good example of technological breakthrough is the shale gas revolution in the US, where production of unconventional hydrocarbons has grown significantly over the last few years.

Nonetheless, we are quite conservative in our estimates of the future growth of the shale oil production due to existing uncertainty in estimations of actual reserves in shale formations.

Such long term trends as the global population growth, urbanization and motorization in Asia, will promote growing oil consumption. The growing demand will be primarily satisfied from costly unconventional sources of supply, while biofuel will have less stimuli for development. Therefore we see no basis for medium term decline in oil prices below $100/bbl. OPEC policies and dollar depreciation will also support high oil prices.

Oil production growth in North America had a large influence on the global oil refining industry. The US cut gasoline imports and became a net exporter of oil products, negatively affecting the European oil refineries. The Middle East and Asian countries plan to commission new highly efficient refining facilities and this will lead to redistribution of international oil product flows, toughening competition on the European market. As a result, the European oil refining industry’s systemic crisis is unlikely to be overcome in the next few years.

Global gas consumption will grow the fastest among all fossil fuels. Growing demand will be satisfied from a variety of sources of supply, both conventional and unconventional gas. As the LNG market and spot trading develop, the gas market will become more global and competitive. With existing pricing mechanisms Russia will face further improvement of competition on the European market. In this situation the markets of the Asia Pacific look like the most promising direction for Russian gas exports.

Despite the favorable pricing environment, there is a risk that oil production in Russia will begin to decline in 2016-2017, as long as current projects under development are unable to offset decline in production at the currently producing fields. Stable production is possible only if the oil companies intensively employ EOR and develop unconventional resources - and this requires additional tax stimuli from the government.

The government has created conditions necessary for modernization of the Russian oil refining industry. By 2025 production of light oil products will grow considerably, while production of fuel oil will be cut by 3 times. At the same time, situation on the gasoline market over the next few years will remain rather tense. In order to guarantee stable development of the oil refining industry and avoid seasonal deficit of gasoline, the government has to provide market predictability and rules for “the game”.

Russia has enough reserves of natural gas to satisfy its own needs and export obligations for a long term. The potential of Russian gas production will mainly depend on the access to global gas markets.
НЕФТЬ НА ТАНКЕР